

The American College of Radiology, with more than 30,000 members, is the principal organization of radiologists, radiation oncologists, and clinical medical physicists in the United States. The College is a nonprofit professional society whose primary purposes are to advance the science of radiology, improve radiologic services to the patient, study the socioeconomic aspects of the practice of radiology, and encourage continuing education for radiologists, radiation oncologists, medical physicists, and persons practicing in allied professional fields.

The American College of Radiology will periodically define new practice guidelines and technical standards for radiologic practice to help advance the science of radiology and to improve the quality of service to patients throughout the United States. Existing practice guidelines and technical standards will be reviewed for revision or renewal, as appropriate, on their fifth anniversary or sooner, if indicated.

Each practice guideline and technical standard, representing a policy statement by the College, has undergone a thorough consensus process in which it has been subjected to extensive review, requiring the approval of the Commission on Quality and Safety as well as the ACR Board of Chancellors, the ACR Council Steering Committee, and the ACR Council. The practice guidelines and technical standards recognize that the safe and effective use of diagnostic and therapeutic radiology requires specific training, skills, and techniques, as described in each document. Reproduction or modification of the published practice guideline and technical standard by those entities not providing these services is not authorized.

1999 (Res. 5)
Amended 2004 (Res. 25)
Revised 2005 (Res. 41)
Amended 2006 (Res. 16g,17,34,35,36)
Effective 10/01/05

PRACTICE GUIDELINE FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF DIAGNOSTIC CERVICOCEREBRAL ANGIOGRAPHY IN ADULTS

PREAMBLE

These guidelines are an educational tool designed to assist practitioners in providing appropriate radiologic care for patients. They are not inflexible rules or requirements of practice and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care. For these reasons and those set forth below, the American College of Radiology cautions against the use of these guidelines in litigation in which the clinical decisions of a practitioner are called into question.

The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure or course of action must be made by the physician or medical physicist in light of all the circumstances presented. Thus, an approach that differs from the guidelines, standing alone, does not necessarily imply that the approach was below the standard of care. To the contrary, a conscientious practitioner may responsibly adopt a course of action different from that set forth in the guidelines when, in the reasonable judgment of the practitioner, such course of action is indicated by the condition of the patient, limitations on available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology subsequent to publication of the guidelines. However, a practitioner who employs an approach substantially different from these guidelines is advised to document in the patient record information sufficient to explain the approach taken.

The practice of medicine involves not only the science, but also the art of dealing with the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, and treatment of disease. The variety and

complexity of human conditions make it impossible to always reach the most appropriate diagnosis or to predict with certainty a particular response to treatment. Therefore, it should be recognized that adherence to these guidelines will not assure an accurate diagnosis or a successful outcome. All that should be expected is that the practitioner will follow a reasonable course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The sole purpose of these guidelines is to assist practitioners in achieving this objective.

I. INTRODUCTION

This guideline was developed and written with the collaboration of the American College of Radiology (ACR), American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR), the American Society of Interventional and Therapeutic Neuroradiology (ASITN), and the Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR). Diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography is a proven, safe, and effective procedure for evaluating many intracranial and extracranial disorders, especially vascular abnormalities of the head, neck, and brain.

Diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography should be performed only for a valid medical reason (see Section III below) and with the minimum radiation dose necessary to achieve an optimal study. Diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography is considered the diagnostic standard by which the accuracy of other intracranial or extracranial

vascular imaging modalities is judged. While diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography is an invasive test with defined risks, it is a valuable and informative procedure performed routinely in the evaluation of certain vascular and neurological disorders. The diagnostic information obtained, combined with other clinical and noninvasive imaging findings, can be used to plan or evaluate results of treatment.

This guideline has been developed to help practicing physicians ensure that patients undergo diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography for appropriate reasons, that the methods used and the periprocedural care provided are adequate to minimize complications, and that the quality of the studies obtained is sufficient to answer the clinical questions that prompted them. Adherence to this guideline will aid in the safe and effective performance of diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography.

Participation by the angiographer in preprocedural selection, intraprocedural monitoring, postprocedural follow-up, and management of the patient is important in high-quality diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography and will increase the success rate of the procedure.

This guideline can be used in institution-wide quality improvement programs to assess the practice of diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography. The most important elements of care are 1) patient selection, preparation and education; 2) expertise in performing and interpreting the procedure; and 3) monitoring of the patient. The outcome measures or indicators for these processes are indications, success rates, and complication rates. Outcome measures are assigned threshold levels.

II. DEFINITIONS AND OVERVIEW

DEFINITIONS

For the purpose of this guideline, the following definitions apply:

Diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography - a complete patient encounter involving percutaneous passage of a catheter into the arteries supplying the neck and head followed by injection of contrast material and imaging of the intracranial and extracranial head and neck circulation using serial film or digital imaging systems.

Indicator - a specific, quantifiable, and objective measure of quality.

Major complication - an event or occurrence that results in admission to the hospital for therapy (for outpatient procedures), one that requires an unplanned increase in the level of care resulting in prolonged hospitalization, or one that results in permanent adverse sequelae or death (see Appendix A).

Minor complication - an event or occurrence that results in no sequelae; however, such an event may require nominal therapy or a short hospital stay for observation (generally overnight).

Successful examination - an examination that provides a sufficient selective cervicocerebral technical evaluation and image interpretation to establish or exclude pathology in the extracranial and intracranial circulation.

Stroke - a focal neurological deficit lasting longer than 24 hours. This includes both a reversible stroke (neurological deficit that resolves within 7 days) and a permanent stroke (neurological deficit lasting longer than 7 days).

Threshold - a specific level of an indicator that should prompt the performance of a review.

OVERVIEW

Diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography is a process by which the intracranial and extracranial head and neck circulation is evaluated. It consists of placement of a catheter selectively into extracranial cervical vessels using imaging guidance, followed by contrast injection to delineate anatomy. The catheter is usually inserted via a common femoral arterial access site, but other access sites may be used in selected cases. Aortic arch injections may be performed to delineate the origins and/or tortuosity of the extracranial cervical vessels prior to selective catheterization. A selective study should be performed unless severe occlusive disease prohibits safe selective catheterization. Selective catheter placement optimally evaluates the extracranial and intracranial circulation and better defines occlusive morphology, tandem occlusive lesions, collateral circulation, and coincident and/or contributory abnormalities, and it carries a lower risk of complications than nonselective aortic arch injection. Evaluation of the intracranial circulation is an essential component of the angiographic study of occlusive extracranial cerebrovascular disease.

Injection of contrast medium must be at a rate and volume that safely and adequately opacify the vascular territory of interest. Optimal positioning, magnification, and filming rates are necessary to provide sufficient information regarding the disease and vascular territory being studied. Several projections may be necessary to best demonstrate the targeted area, but a minimum of two orthogonal projections is essential. Findings are acquired and stored either on conventional film or digitally on computerized storage media. Imaging and image recording must be consistent with the as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) radiation safety guidelines.

While practicing physicians should strive to achieve perfect outcomes (e.g., 100% success, 0% complications), in practice all physicians will fall short of this ideal to a

variable extent. Thus, indicator thresholds may be used to assess the efficacy of ongoing quality improvement programs. Procedure thresholds or overall thresholds refer to a group of indicators for a procedure, e.g., major complications for selective diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography. Individual complications may also be associated with complication-specific thresholds.

When measures such as indications or success rates fall below a minimum threshold or when complication rates exceed a maximum threshold, a review should be performed to determine causes and to implement changes, if necessary. Thresholds may vary from those listed here; for example, patient referral patterns and selection factors may dictate a different threshold value for a particular indicator at a particular institution. Thus, setting universal thresholds is very difficult, and each institution is urged to alter the thresholds as needed to higher or lower values to meet its own quality improvement program needs.

III. INDICATIONS AND CONTRAINDICATIONS

In developing a set of indications for a diagnostic test, limitations and difficulties become apparent. Unusual clinical conditions often require exhaustive testing, and the rare manifestations of more common diseases must also occasionally be excluded. The population of a given hospital or geographic area may require testing for clinical problems not seen in other institutions or areas. All of these variables must be considered in developing a list of indications for a diagnostic procedure. The list presented here helps to focus on the primary indications for diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography and therefore helps to avoid unnecessary testing. However, the physicians caring for the patient and the physician performing the procedure are in the best position to determine the appropriateness of the diagnostic evaluation. In all cases, the indications for the procedure should be documented in the patient's medical record.

Indications for diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography include, but are not limited to:

- A. Definition of the presence and extent of atherosclerotic occlusive disease and thromboembolic phenomena and as an aid in planning intervention.
- B. Definition of the etiology of cervicocerebral hemorrhage.
- C. Definition of the presence, location, and anatomy of extracranial and intracranial aneurysms and vascular malformations.
- D. Evaluation of vasospasm related to subarachnoid hemorrhage or drug-induced vasculopathy.

- E. Definition of the presence, nature, and extent of injury to cervicocerebral vessels.
- F. Definition of the vascular supply to tumors.
- G. Definition of the presence and extent of vasculitis.
- H. Diagnosis and definition of the nature and extent of congenital or acquired vascular abnormality.
- I. Definition of the presence of venous occlusive disease.
- J. Definition of the relevant vascular anatomy for determining the effect of therapeutic interventions.
- K. Physiologic testing of brain function (e.g., WADA).

The threshold for these indications is 99%. When fewer than 99% of the procedures are for these indications, the institution should review the process of patient selection.

There are no absolute contraindications to diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography. Relative contraindications include hypotension, severe hypertension, coagulopathy, and clinically significant sensitivity to iodinated contrast material, renal insufficiency, and congestive heart failure. Patient management should address these relative contraindications prior to the procedure. Every effort should be made to correct or control these clinical situations before the procedure, if feasible.

All imaging facilities should have policies and procedures to reasonably attempt to identify pregnant patients prior to the performance of any diagnostic examinations involving ionizing radiation. If the patient is known to be pregnant, the potential radiation risk to the fetus and clinical benefits of the procedure should be considered before proceeding with the study. 1995, 2005 (Res. 1a)

IV. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONNEL

A. Physician

Image-based diagnosis and treatment planning require integrating the angiographic findings within the context of the patient's history, physical findings, and prior imaging studies. Therefore, the neuroangiographer must be clinically informed and understand the specific questions to be answered by diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography prior to the procedure to plan and perform it safely and effectively.

The physician performing the diagnostic cervicocerebral angiogram must be appropriately trained in the technical and cognitive aspects of catheter angiography, and must

fully appreciate the benefits, alternatives, and risks of the procedure. He/she must have a thorough understanding of extracranial and intracranial vascular anatomy (including congenital and developmental variants and common collateral pathways), angiographic equipment, radiation safety considerations, and physiologic monitoring equipment and have access to an adequate supply of catheters, guidewires, and personnel to perform the procedure safely. The physician must understand the principles of preventing thromboembolic phenomena with anticoagulation and catheter flushing, the need for adequate hydration, and techniques for puncture site hemostasis. Furthermore, the performing physician must be able to detect and understand the clinical significance of changing or new neurologic findings and be familiar with methods of management of neuroangiographic complications.

Diagnostic cervicocerebral angiographic examinations must be performed by or under the supervision of and interpreted by a physician who has the following qualifications:

1. Certification in Radiology or Diagnostic Radiology by the American Board of Radiology (ABR), the American Osteopathic Board of Radiology, the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada, or Le College des Medecins du Quebec, provided the Board examined in this procedure. Completion of an approved Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) approved residency program or an American Osteopathic Association (AOA) approved residency program during which the physician must have had appropriately supervised training and interpreted at least 100 cervicocerebral neurovascular imaging studies. The physician must have performed at least 100 diagnostic catheter angiograms, 50 of which are complete selective cervicocerebral angiograms performed as primary operator. The instruction must be documented so the director of the training program and/or a supervisor who has already met these criteria can certify that the physician is proficient in the interpretation and performance of these procedures, with acceptable success and complication rates within the quality assurance threshold rates defined in this guideline. The physician must also have completed a minimum of 6 months of formal education in one of the neuroscience specialties in an ACGME approved residency program that incorporates training in the cervicocerebral vasculature and associated neurological pathophysiology.

or

2. The physician must have had appropriately supervised training in an ACGME approved program in the interpretation of at least 100 cervicocerebral neurovascular imaging studies. The physician must have performed at least 100 diagnostic catheter angiograms, 50 of which are complete selective cervicocerebral angiograms performed as primary operator. This instruction must be documented so the director of the training program and/or a supervisor who has already met these criteria can certify that the physician is proficient in the interpretation and performance of these procedures, with acceptable success and complication rates within the quality assurance threshold rates defined in this guideline. The physician must also have completed a minimum of 6 months of formal education in one of the neuroscience specialties in an ACGME approved training program that incorporates training in the cervicocerebral vasculature and associated neurological pathophysiology.

or

3. The physician must have successfully completed an ACGME approved nonradiology residency or fellowship training, and have had a minimum of 6 months of formal education in one of the neuroscience specialties in an ACGME approved training program that incorporates training in the cervicocerebral vasculature and associated neurological pathophysiology. During this ACGME approved training he or she must have had appropriately supervised training in the interpretation of at least 100 cervicocerebral neurovascular imaging studies. The physician must have performed at least 100 diagnostic catheter angiograms, 50 of which are complete selective cervicocerebral angiograms performed as primary operator. This instruction must be documented so the director of the training program and/or a supervisor who has met the criteria as defined in IV.A.1 above can certify that the physician is proficient in the performance of the procedures with acceptable success and complication rates within the quality assurance threshold rates defined in this guideline.

and

4. Substantiation in writing by the director of neuroradiology, the director of interventional radiology, or the chief of the department responsible for granting privileges for cervicocerebral angiography within the institution in which the physician will be

providing these services¹ that the physician is knowledgeable about all of the following:

- a. Indications and contraindications for the procedure.
- b. Periprocedural and intraprocedural assessment, monitoring, and management of the patient and the access site.
- c. Where applicable, pharmacology of moderate or “conscious” sedation medications and recognition and treatment of adverse reactions and complications.
- d. Appropriate use and operation of fluoroscopic and radiographic equipment, mechanical injectors, rapid film changers, digital subtraction, and other electronic imaging systems.
- e. Where applicable, principles of radiation protection, hazards of radiation exposure both to patients and to radiologic personnel, and monitoring requirements.
- f. Where applicable, pharmacology of contrast agents and recognition and treatment of potential adverse reactions.
- g. Percutaneous needle and catheter introduction techniques.
- h. Technical aspects of performing the procedure, including the use of alternative catheter and guidewire systems, selective angiographic methods, appropriate injection rates and volumes of contrast media, and filming sequences.
- i. Anatomy, physiology, and pathophysiology of intracranial and extracranial vasculature.
- j. Interpretation of intracranial and extracranial vascular studies.

Maintenance of Competence

Physicians must perform a sufficient number of cervicocerebral angiography procedures to maintain their skills, with acceptable success and complication rates as laid out in this guideline. Continued competence should depend on participation in a quality improvement program that monitors these rates.

Continuing Medical Education

The physician’s continuing education should be in accordance with the [ACR Practice Guideline for Continuing Medical Education \(CME\)](#).

¹At institutions in which there is joint (dual) credentialing across departments doing like procedures, this substantiation of experience should be done by the chairs of both departments to ensure equity of experience among practitioners when their training backgrounds differ (43).

B. Qualified Medical Physicist

A Qualified Medical Physicist is an individual who is competent to practice independently in one or more of the subfields in medical physics. The American College of Radiology considers certification and continuing education in the appropriate subfield(s) to demonstrate that an individual is competent to practice in one or more of the subfields in medical physics, and to be a Qualified Medical Physicist. The ACR recommends that the individual be certified in the appropriate subfield(s) by the American Board of Radiology (ABR) or for MRI, by the American Board of Medical Physics (ABMP) in magnetic resonance imaging physics.

The appropriate subfields of medical physics for this guideline are Radiological Physics and Diagnostic Radiological Physics. The continuing education of a Qualified Medical Physicist should be in accordance with the [ACR Practice Guideline for Continuing Medical Education \(CME\)](#), 2006 (Res. 16g)

C. Radiologist assistant

A radiologist assistant is an advanced level radiographer who is certified and registered as a radiologist assistant by the American Registry of Radiologic Technologists (ARRT) after having successfully completed an advanced academic program encompassing an ACR/ASRT (American Society of Radiologic Technologists) radiologist assistant curriculum and a radiologist-directed clinical preceptorship. Under radiologist supervision, the radiologist assistant may perform patient assessment, patient management and selected examinations as delineated in the Joint Policy Statement of the ACR and the ASRT titled “Radiologist Assistant: Roles and Responsibilities” and as allowed by state law. The radiologist assistant transmits to the supervising radiologists those observations that have a bearing on diagnosis. Performance of diagnostic interpretations remains outside the scope of practice of the radiologist assistant. 2006 (Res. 34)

D. Radiologic Technologist

1. The technologist, together with the physician and nursing personnel, should be responsible for patient comfort and safety. The technologist should be able to prepare and position² the

²The American College of Radiology approves of the practice of certified and/or licensed radiologic technologists performing fluoroscopy only as a positioning or localizing procedure and then only if monitored by a supervising physician who is personally and immediately available, and the positioning or localizing procedure must have prior written approval by the medical director of the radiology department/service and there must be written authority, policy, and procedures for designating radiologic technologists who perform such procedures. 1987, 1997 (Res. 1-E)

patient for the arteriographic procedure and, together with the nurse, monitor the patient during the examination. The technologist should obtain the imaging data in a manner prescribed by the supervising physician. If intravenous contrast material is to be administered, qualifications for technologists performing intravenous injection should be in compliance with current ACR policy statements³ and existing operating procedures or manuals at the interventional radiology facility and/or imaging facility. The technologist should also perform regular quality control testing of the equipment under supervision of the physicist.

2. Technologists should be certified by the American Registry of Radiologic Technologists (ARRT) or have an unrestricted state license with documented training and experience in the imaging modality used for the imaging-guided percutaneous procedure.-

E. Nursing Services

Nursing services are an integral part of the team for pre- and postprocedure patient management and education and are recommended for monitoring the patient during the procedure.

V. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

There are several technical requirements that are necessary to ensure safe and successful diagnostic cervicocerebral angiograms. These include adequate arteriographic equipment and institutional facilities, physiologic monitoring equipment, and support personnel.

A. Angiographic Equipment and Facilities

The following are considered the minimum equipment requirements for performing diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography. In planning facilities for diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography, equipment and facilities

³The American College of Radiology approves of the injection of contrast material and diagnostic levels of radiopharmaceutical by certified and/or licensed radiologic technologists and radiologic nurses under the direction of a radiologist or his or her physician designee who is personally and immediately available, if the practice is in compliance with institutional and state regulations. There must be prior written approval by the medical director of the radiology department/service of such individuals; such approval process having followed established policies and procedures, and the radiologic technologists and radiologic nurses who have been so approved maintain documentation of continuing medical education related to the materials being injected and to the procedures being performed. 1987, 1997 (Res. 1-H)

more advanced than those outlined below may be desired to produce higher quality studies with reduced risk and time of study. In general, the facility should include at a minimum:

1. A high-resolution image intensifier and television chain with standard angiographic filming capabilities (including serial film changers, if necessary). Digital subtraction angiographic systems with high spatial resolution are recommended, as they allow for reduced volumes of contrast material and reduced examination times. These digital acquisition systems are sufficient to offer an alternative to conventional film systems and are more flexible and therefore preferable for safe and accurate diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography. Findings are acquired and stored either on conventional film or digitally on computerized storage media. Imaging and image recording must be consistent with the ALARA radiation safety guidelines. Use of last image hold and pulsed fluoroscopy are recommended for dose reduction.
2. Adequate angiographic supplies such as catheters, guidewires, needles, and introducer sheaths.
3. An angiographic injector capable of varying injection volumes and rates with appropriate safety mechanisms to prevent overinjection.
4. An angiography suite that is large enough to allow easy transfer of the patient from the bed to the table and to allow room for the procedure table, monitoring equipment, and other hardware such as intravenous pumps, respirators, anesthesia equipment, and oxygen tanks. Ideally, there should be adequate space for the operating team to work unencumbered on either side of the patient and for the circulation of other technical staff in the room without contaminating the sterile conditions.
5. An area within the institution appropriate for patient preparation prior to the procedure and for observation of patients after the procedure. This might be within the radiology department, in a short-stay unit, or in a routine nursing unit as outlined in Section V.E below. There should be immediate access to emergency resuscitation equipment.

B. Physiologic Monitoring and Resuscitation Equipment

1. Sufficient equipment should be present in the angiography suite to allow for monitoring the

patient's heart rate, cardiac rhythm, and blood pressure. For facilities utilizing moderate sedation, a pulse oximeter must be available. (See the [ACR Practice Guideline for Adult Sedation/Analgesia](#).)

2. There should be ready access to emergency resuscitation equipment and drugs, to include the following: an emergency defibrillator, oxygen supply and appropriate tubing and delivery systems, suction equipment, tubes for endotracheal intubation, laryngoscope, ventilation bag-valve-mask apparatus, and central venous line sets. Drugs for treating cardiopulmonary arrest, contrast reaction, vasovagal reactions, narcotic or benzodiazepine overdose, bradycardia, and ventricular arrhythmias should also be readily available.

C. Support Personnel

1. Radiologic technologists properly trained in the use of the arteriographic equipment should assist in performing and imaging the procedure. They should demonstrate appropriate knowledge of patient positioning, arteriographic image recording, angiographic contrast injectors, angiographic supplies, and the physiologic monitoring equipment to the satisfaction of the neuroangiographer. Certification as a vascular and interventional radiologic technologist is one measure of appropriate training. The technologists should be trained in basic cardiopulmonary resuscitation and in the function of the resuscitation equipment.
2. If the patient does not receive moderate sedation, one of the staff assisting the procedure should be assigned to periodically assess the patient's status. If the patient is to undergo moderate sedation, a nurse or other appropriately trained individual must monitor the patient as his/her primary responsibility. This person should maintain a record of the patient's vital signs, time and dose of medications given, and other pertinent information. Nursing personnel must be qualified to administer moderate sedation. (See the [ACR Practice Guideline for Adult Sedation/Analgesia](#).)

D. Surgical Support

Although complications of diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography only rarely require urgent surgery, these procedures should be performed in an environment where operative repair can be instituted promptly. Ideally, this would be an acute-care hospital with adequate surgical,

anesthesia, and ancillary support. When these procedures are performed in a freestanding center, detailed protocols for the rapid transport or admission of patients to an acute-care hospital should be formalized in writing.

E. Patient Care

The written or electronic request for a cervicocerebral angiography examination should provide sufficient information to demonstrate the medical necessity of the examination and allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

Documentation that satisfies medical necessity includes 1) signs and symptoms and/or 2) relevant history (including known diagnoses). The provision of additional information regarding the specific reason for the examination or a provisional diagnosis would be helpful and may at times be needed to allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

The request for the examination must be originated by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider. The accompanying clinical information should be provided by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider familiar with the patient's clinical problem or question and consistent with the state scope of practice requirements. 2006 (Res. 35)

1. Preprocedure care

The indications for elective diagnostic cervicocerebral angiographic studies should be documented as described below. For emergency procedures, a note should be written summarizing the indication for the study, the pertinent history and physical findings, if available, and the proposed procedure.

- a. Clinically significant history, including indications for the procedure.
- b. Clinically significant physical examination findings, including neurological and vascular examinations appropriate to the procedure performed, and a general examination of sufficient depth to exclude concurrent acute illnesses.
- c. Informed consent must be in compliance with all state laws and the [ACR Practice Guideline on Informed Consent for Image-Guided Procedures](#).
- d. Laboratory evaluation may be indicated, including, but not limited to, measurement of hemoglobin, hematocrit, creatinine, electrolytes, and coagulation parameters.

2. Procedural care

- a. Adherence to the JCAHO Universal Protocol for Preventing Wrong Site, Wrong Procedure, Wrong Person Surgery™ is required for procedures in non-operating room settings including bedside procedures. “Time out” must be conducted in the location where the procedure will be done, just before starting the procedure and must:
 - Involve the entire operative team.
 - Use active communication.
 - Be briefly documented, such as in a checklist, and
 - At the least, include:
 - Correct patient identity.
 - Correct side and site.
 - Agreement on the procedure to be done.
 - Correct patient position.
 - Availability of correct implants and any special equipment or special requirements.

The organization should have processes and systems in place for reconciling differences in staff responses during the “time out”.

- b. All patients must have cardiac monitoring continuously during the procedure, with intermittent blood pressure monitoring. A record of vital signs must be maintained.
- c. All patients must have intravenous access in place for the administration of fluids and medications as needed.
- d. If the patient is to receive moderate sedation, pulse oximetry must be used. A registered nurse or other appropriately trained personnel must be present, and his/her primary responsibility must be to monitor the patient. A record must be kept of medication doses and times of administration.
- e. All patients must have assessments of their neurological status throughout the course of the procedure.
- f. A physician must be available during the immediate postprocedure period to ensure that there is adequate hemostasis at the puncture site and that the patient’s cardiovascular status and neurologic status are stable prior to transfer to the postprocedure care area.

3. Postprocedure care

- a. A procedure note should be written in the patient’s chart summarizing the major findings of the study and any immediate complications. This note may be brief if a

formal report will be available within a few hours. However, if the typed report is not likely to be on the chart the same day, a more detailed summary of the study should be written in the chart at the conclusion of the procedure. In all cases, pertinent findings should be communicated to the referring physician in a timely manner.

- b. All patients should be at bed rest and observed in the initial postprocedure period. The length of this period of bed rest will depend on the site and size of the arteriotomy and the patient’s medical condition.
- c. During the initial postprocedure period, skilled nurses or other appropriately trained personnel should periodically monitor the puncture site and the status of the distal vascular distribution.
- d. The patient should be monitored for urinary output, cardiac symptoms, pain, and other indicators of systemic complications that may necessitate overnight care.
- e. Initial ambulation of the patient must be carefully supervised. Vascular perfusion, puncture site stability, and independent patient function and mobility must be assured.
- f. Since all diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography studies require catheter manipulation in the thoracic aorta and the brachiocephalic vessels, neurologic status should be assessed frequently and at regular intervals.
- g. The operating physician or a qualified designee should evaluate the patient after the procedure, and these findings should be summarized in a progress note. If moderate sedation was administered prior to and during the procedure, complete recovery from moderate sedation must be documented. The physician or a designee should be available for continuing care during hospitalization and after discharge. The designee may be another physician or a nurse.

F. Selection Criteria for Short-Term Observation

The duration of postprocedure observation must be individualized. Diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography can be performed on some patients with a short period of postprocedure observation (less than 8 hours) prior to discharge to home; others require overnight care. Short-term observation should only be considered when all the following conditions can be met:

1. The patient is capable of independent ambulation prior to the procedure and should demonstrate stable independent ambulation after the procedure. Alternatively, nonambulatory patients should have adequate assistance after discharge to provide care as needed.
2. Mental status and neurologic status are intact both before and after the procedure, with the patient capable of following instructions and detecting changes in symptoms. Alternatively, patients with impaired mental or neurologic status should have adequate assistance after discharge to provide care as needed.
3. The patient is provided with instructions on how to recognize potential complications (e.g., bleeding at the puncture site, neurological deficit, decreased urinary output, pain and discoloration distal to the puncture site) and how to obtain medical assistance in the event of such complication.
4. A responsible adult is provided with information regarding recognition of potential complications (e.g., Section V.F.3 above) and available to transport the patient and be in attendance during the initial night after discharge.
5. The patient is free of concurrent serious medical illness that might contribute to a significantly increased risk of complication.
6. The patient has recovered from the effects of sedation.

G. Relative Contraindications to Short-Term Observation

Several factors must be considered when determining the length of postprocedure skilled nursing care. Some of the relative contraindications to short-term observation are listed below. This list is not meant to be comprehensive, and any clinical circumstance that might predispose the patient to significant complication should prompt overnight admission.

1. Patients with poorly controlled hypertension, in which there appears to be increased risk of hematoma formation, may benefit from overnight observation.
2. Patients with significant risk of contrast-media-associated nephrotoxicity that might be prevented by hospitalization and intravenous hydration.

3. Patients with coagulopathies or electrolyte abnormalities that require correction should be hospitalized until stable.
4. Insulin-dependent diabetics who have labile serum glucose levels in the periprocedural period should be hospitalized until stable.
5. Complication occurring during or after arteriography, including bleeding, large hematoma, anuria, persistent nausea, and vomiting, should prompt observation until symptoms resolve.
6. Patients who exhibit hemodynamic instability or significant arrhythmia during or after the procedure should be hospitalized until stable.
7. Travel time to the hospital or to another acute care facility should be less than 1 hour from where the patient is to spend the first postprocedure night.
8. Patients who live alone.

The decision for short-term or longer-term postprocedure observation must be individualized, and a patient's care may vary from the above criteria for sound clinical reasons. The diagnostic neuroangiographer and the referring physician must make the decision in each case after review of all pertinent data.

VI. DOCUMENTATION

Reporting should be in accordance with the [Practice Guideline for the Reporting and Archiving of Interventional Radiology Procedures](#).

VII. RADIATION SAFETY IN IMAGING

Radiologists, radiologic technologists, and all supervising physicians have a responsibility to minimize radiation dose to individual patients, to staff, and to society as a whole, while maintaining the necessary diagnostic image quality. This is the concept "As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA)".

Facilities, in consultation with the medical physicist, should have in place and should adhere to policies and procedures, in accordance with ALARA, to vary examination protocols to take into account patient body habitus, such as height and/or weight, body mass index or lateral width. The dose reduction devices that are available on imaging equipment should be active or manual techniques should be used to moderate the exposure while maintaining the necessary diagnostic image quality. Patient radiation doses should be periodically measured by a medical physicist in

accordance with the appropriate ACR Technical Standard. 2006 (Res. 17)

VIII. QUALITY CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT, SAFETY, INFECTION CONTROL, AND PATIENT EDUCATION CONCERNS

Policies and procedures related to quality, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the ACR Policy on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education Concerns appearing elsewhere in the ACR Practice Guidelines and Technical Standards book.

These data should be utilized in conjunction with the thresholds described in Section IX below to assess diagnostic cervicocerebral angiographic procedural efficacy and complication rates and, as defined in those sections, to trigger institutional review when the thresholds defined in those sections are exceeded.

IX. QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

While practicing physicians should strive to achieve perfect outcomes (e.g., 100% success, 0% complications), in practice all physicians will fall short of this ideal to a variable extent. Thus, indicator thresholds may be used to assess the efficacy of ongoing quality improvement programs. For the purposes of these guidelines, a threshold is a specific level of an indicator that should prompt a review. Procedure thresholds or overall thresholds refer to a group of indicators for a procedure (e.g., major complications). Individual complications may also be associated with complication-specific thresholds.

When measures such as indications or success rates fall below a minimum threshold or when complication rates exceed a maximum threshold, a review should be performed to determine causes and to implement changes, if necessary. For example, if the incidence of permanent neurological deficit is one measure of the quality of cervicocerebral angiography, then values in excess of the suggested threshold (in this case >1%) should trigger a review of policies and procedures within the department to determine the causes and to implement changes to lower the incidence of the complication. Thresholds may vary from those listed here; for example, patient referral patterns and selection factors may dictate a different threshold value for a particular indicator at a particular institution. Thus, setting universal thresholds is very difficult, and each department is urged to alter the thresholds as needed to higher or lower values, to meet its own quality improvement program needs.

A. Success Rates and Threshold [67,70]

To perform a complete arteriogram, there must be appropriate preprocedure evaluation and planning, with a clear understanding by the operating physician of the questions that need to be answered by the study. Once the procedure has been planned, a technically adequate diagnostic study is necessary, with proper catheter placement by the physician and appropriate physician supervision of contrast injection rate, filming technique, and patient positioning. To be considered successful, an arteriogram should provide a complete and adequate evaluation of the clinical problem, be appropriately and permanently recorded, and be judged diagnostic by others with skill in interpreting arteriograms. The arteriogram should be followed by an electronic or printed report summarizing the findings of the study, its major technical aspects, and any immediate complications. The report should be available for review by the referring physician in a timely manner.

A successful cervicocerebral examination is defined as one that provides sufficient selective cervicocerebral angiographic technical evaluation and image interpretation to establish or exclude pathology of the extracranial and intracranial circulation. Successful selective diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography for the evaluation of atherosclerotic disease is usually performed in one sitting. However, more than one sitting may be necessary due to limitation of vascular access, contrast medium dose limitation, patient intolerance, inadequate anesthesia, or co-morbid illness (e.g., congestive heart failure that obviates prolonged supine positioning). Evaluation of certain conditions such as intracranial hemorrhage may require multiple studies to define or exclude pathology.

	<u>Reported Rates</u>	<u>Suggested Threshold</u>
Diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography	98%	98%

The rate of success is related to the patient's age, severity of atherosclerosis, and presence of hypertensive disease.

B. Complication Rates and Threshold [3,4,7-9,13-17,22-42, 44-66,71-72]

The risks of diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography are generally higher in patients with advanced age, severe atherosclerosis, pre-existing symptomatic cerebrovascular disease, acute subarachnoid hemorrhage, tortuous vessels, and certain vascular dysplasias (e.g., Ehlers-Danlos syndrome), and possibly in patients with a history of migraine headache. The risks are related to the length of the procedure, the number of catheter exchanges, the catheter size, the extent of catheter manipulation, and the amount of contrast medium used. Transfemoral

introduction of the diagnostic catheter is generally considered safer than axillary or brachial catheterization or direct carotid/vertebral puncture. Nonionic low-osmolality contrast media are safer than ionic high-osmolality agents in patients with a previous history of contrast medium hypersensitivity or nephropathy. The risk of contrast-medium-induced nephropathy is greater in patients with pre-existing acute or chronic azotemia, particularly in association with diabetes.

Neurologic complications occurring within 24 hours of the angiogram are, by definition, attributed to the angiogram and are defined by the duration and severity of the neurological deficit. A deficit lasting less than 24 hours is defined as a transient ischemic attack (TIA). Deficits lasting longer than 24 hours are considered strokes. Strokes may be divided on the basis of reversibility. A deficit that resolves within 7 days is defined as reversible stroke, and one lasting longer than 7 days is defined as permanent. Permanent strokes range in severity from trivial to life threatening. To evaluate the outcome of patients following diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography, an objective measure of stroke severity should be made. The Modified Rankin Disability Score (Appendix B) is easily performed and allows stratification of stroke severity that can be compared with the status of the patient prior to angiography.

<u>Neurologic Complication</u>	<u>Reported Rates</u>	<u>Suggested Threshold</u>
Reversible neurological deficit (including TIA and reversible stroke)	0%-2.3%	2.5%
Permanent neurological deficit	0%-5%	1%

Other complications can be stratified on the basis of outcome. Major complications result in admission to a hospital for therapy (for outpatient procedures), an unplanned increase in the level of care resulting in prolonged hospitalization, permanent adverse sequelae, or death. Minor complications result in no sequelae, although they may require nominal therapy or a short hospital stay for observation (generally overnight) (e.g., Appendix A). The complication rates and thresholds below refer to major complications. Any death occurring within 24 hours of the procedure or any puncture-site infection should be reviewed as part of the institution-wide quality improvement program.

<u>Major Complications</u>	<u>Reported Rates</u>	<u>Suggested Threshold</u>
Contrast media associated nephrotoxicity	0%-0.15%	0.2%
Arterial occlusion requiring surgical thrombectomy or thrombolysis	0%-0.4%	0.2%
Arteriovenous fistula/pseudoaneurysm	0.01%-0.22%	0.2%
Hematoma requiring transfusion or surgery	0.26%-1.5%	0.5%

Published rates for individual types of complications are highly dependent on patient selection and are based on series comprising several hundred patients, a volume larger than most individual practitioners are likely to treat. It is also recognized that a single complication can cause a rate to cross above a complication-specific threshold when the complication occurs within a small patient volume (e.g., early in a quality improvement program). In this situation, the overall procedure threshold is more appropriate for use in a quality improvement program.

<u>Overall Procedure Threshold</u>	<u>Reported Rate</u>
------------------------------------	----------------------

All major complications resulting from diagnostic cervicocerebral angiography	2%
---	----

This number refers to any complication that requires additional therapy or prolonged hospitalization, or that causes permanent adverse sequelae as defined in Appendix A.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This guideline was revised according to the process described in the ACR Practice Guidelines and Technical Standards book by the Guidelines and Standards Committee of the Neuroradiology and MR Commission, and the Guidelines and Standards Committee of the Interventional and Cardiovascular Radiology Commission, in collaboration with the American Society of Interventional and Therapeutic Neuroradiology, the American Society of Neuroradiology, and the Society of Interventional Radiology.

Principal Reviewers

Stephen A. Kieffer, MD	Curtis A. Lewis, MD
John F. Cardella, MD	John J. Connors, III, MD
John E. Jordan, MD	Paul A. Larson, MD
Suresh K. Mukherji, MD	Eric J. Russell, MD
David Sacks, MD	

ACR Guidelines and Standards Committee

Neuroradiology

Body MRI

Co-Chairs

Suresh K. Mukherji, MD Jeffrey Brown, MD

John D. Barr, MD David A. Bluemke, MD
John J. Connors, III, MD Jerry W. Froelich, MD
John E. Jordan, MD Michael L. Lipton, MD
Emanuel Kanal, MD Colin S. Poon, MD, PhD
Stephen A. Kieffer, MD Donald J. Schnapf, DO
Eric J. Russell, MD Frank G. Shellock, PhD
Sanjay K. Shetty, MD Cynthia S. Sherry, MD
Charles L. Truwit, MD Barry Stein, MD
Patrick A. Turski, MD Paul T. Weatherall, MD
Robert C. Wallace, MD
Wade H. Wong, DO

William G. Bradley, Jr., MD, PhD, Chair, Commission

ACR Guidelines and Standards Committee

Interventional & Cardiovascular Radiology

John F. Cardella, MD, Chair John D. Barr, MD
Daniel B. Brown, MD Drew M. Caplin, MD
Christine Chao, MD James S. Donaldson, MD
Kyran Dowling, MD Clement J. Grassi, MD
Patrick C. Malloy, MD Louis G. Martin, MD
Timothy P. Murphy, MD Reed A. Omary, MD
James L. Swischuk, MD

Matthew A. Mauro, MD, Chair, Commission

Comments Reconciliation Committee

Peter H.B. McCreight, MD, Co-Chair, CSC
Jon D. Shanser, MD, Co-Chair, CSC
Paul H. Ellenbogen, MD, Speaker
Paul A. Larson, MD
William G. Bradley, Jr., MD, PhD
Matthew A. Mauro, MD
Jeffrey J. Brown, MD
John F. Cardella, MD
John J. Connors, III, MD
G. David Dixon, MD
Stephen A. Kieffer, MD
Curtis A. Lewis, MD
Suresh K. Mukherji, MD
Eric J. Russell, MD
David Sacks, MD

REFERENCES

1. Adams HP Jr, Kappelle LJ, Biller J, et al. Ischemic stroke in young adults: experience in 329 patients enrolled in the Iowa registry of stroke in young adults. *Arch Neurol* 1995;52:491-495.

2. Aletich VA, Debrun GM, Monsein LH, et al. Giant serpentine aneurysms: a review and presentation of five cases. *Am J Neuroradiol* 1995;16:1061-1072.

3. Allen JH, Parera C, Potts DG. The relation of arterial trauma to complications of cerebral angiography. *Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther Nucl Med* 1965;95:845-851.

4. Amagasa M, Yoshimoto T, Mizoi K, et al. Early cerebral angiography after aneurysm rupture: analysis of 197 cases. *J Neurosurg* 1986;65:776-778.

5. Batson RC, Sottiarai VS. Management of asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis. *Int Surg* 1984; 69:239-246.

6. Biller J, Hingtgen WL, Adams HP Jr, et al. Cervicocephalic arterial dissections: a ten-year experience. *Arch Neurol* 1986;43:1234-1238.

7. Byrd L, Sherman RL. Radiocontrast-induced acute renal failure: a clinical and pathophysiologic review. *Medicine* 1979;58:270-279.

8. Cali RL, Berg R, Rama K. Bilateral internal carotid artery agenesis: a case study and review of the literature. *Surgery* 1993;113:227-233.

9. Canhao P, Ferro JM, Pinto AN, et al. Perimesencephalic and non-perimesencephalic subarachnoid hemorrhage with negative angiograms. *Acta Neurochir* 1995;132:14-19.

10. Cardella JF, Casarella WJ, DeWeese JA, et al. Optimal resources for the examination and endovascular treatment of the peripheral and visceral vascular systems: AHA Intercouncil report on peripheral and visceral angiographic and interventional laboratories. *Circulation* 1994; 89:1481-1493.

11. Cloft HJ, Joseph GJ, Dion JE. Risk of cerebral angiography in patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage, cerebral aneurysm and arteriovenous malformation. *Stroke* 1999;30:317-320.

12. Connolly JE, Brownell DA, Levine EF, et al. Accuracy and indications of diagnostic studies for extracranial carotid disease. *Arch Surg* 1985; 120:1229-1232.

13. Crnic DM, Seifert FC, Ranniger K. Arterial injury in dogs after multiple percutaneous catheterizations at the same site of entry. *Radiology* 1973;108:295-299.

14. Davies KN, Humphrey PR. Complications of cerebral angiography in patients with symptomatic carotid territory ischaemia screened by carotid ultrasound. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1993; 56:967-972.

15. Diaz-Buxo JA, Wagoner RD, Hattery RR, et al. Acute renal failure after excretory urography in diabetic patients. *Ann Intern Med* 1975;83:155-158.

16. Dion JE, Gates PC, Fox AJ, et al. Clinical events following neuroangiography: a prospective study. *Stroke* 1987;18:997-1004.

17. Douglas DJ, Schuler JJ, Buchbinder D, et al. The association of central retinal artery occlusion and

- extracranial carotid artery disease. *Arch Surg* 1988; 208:85-90.
18. Dukes HT, Vieth RG. Cerebral arteriography during migraine prodrome and headache. *Neurology* 1964; 14:636-639.
 19. Earnest F IV, Forbes G, Sandok BA, et al. Complications of cerebral angiography: prospective assessment of risk. *AJR* 1984;142:247-253.
 20. Eisenberg RL, Bank WO, Hedgcock MW. Neurologic complications of angiography in patients with critical stenosis of the carotid artery. *Neurology* 1980;30:892-895.
 21. Fisher M, Ahmadi J, Zee CS, et al. Arteriography of carotid bifurcation: oblique projections. *Neurology* 1985;35:1201-1204.
 22. Fox AJ. Carotid endarterectomy trials. *Neuroimaging Clin North Am* 1996;6:931-938.
 23. Ginsberg LE, Stump DA, King JC, et al. Air embolus risk with glass versus plastic syringes: in vitro study and implications for neuroangiography. *Radiology* 1994;191:813-816.
 24. Grzyska U, Freitag J, Zeumer H. Selective cerebral intraarterial DSA: complication rate and control of risk factors. *Neuroradiology* 1990;32:296-299.
 25. Hankey GJ, Warlow CP, Sellar RJ. Cerebral angiographic risk in mild cerebrovascular disease. *Stroke* 1990;21:209-222.
 26. Hankey GJ, Warlow CP, Molyneux AJ. Complications of cerebral angiography for patients with mild carotid territory ischaemia being considered for carotid endarterectomy. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1990;53:542-548.
 27. Hass WK, Fields WS, North RR, et al. Joint study of extracranial arterial occlusion. II. Arteriography, techniques, sites and complications. *JAMA* 1968; 203:961-968.
 28. Heiserman JE, Dean BL, Hodak JA, et al. Neurologic complications of cerebral angiography. *Am J Neuroradiol* 1994;15:1401-1407.
 29. Hellmann DB, Roubenoff R, Healy RA, et al. Central nervous system angiography: safety and predictors of a positive result in 125 consecutive patients evaluated for possible vasculitis. *J Rheumatol* 1992;19:568-572.
 30. Henry PY, Larre P, Aupy M, et al. Reversible cerebral arteriopathy associated with the administration of ergot derivatives. *Cephalalgia* 1984;4:171-178.
 31. Hessel SJ, Adams DF, Abrams HL. Complications of angiography. *Radiology* 1981;138:273-281.
 32. Hughes DG, Patel U, Forbes WS, et al. Comparison of hand injection with mechanical injection for digital subtraction selective cerebral angiography. *Br J Radiol* 1994;67:786-790.
 33. *Implementation of the Principle of as Low as Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) for Medical and Dental Personnel*. Bethesda, Md: National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements; NCRP Report 107; 1990.
 34. Jackson A, Stewart G, Wood A, et al. Transient global amnesia and cortical blindness after vertebral angiography: further evidence for the role of arterial spasm. *Am J Neuroradiol* 1995;16:955-959.
 35. Jacobsson BS, Paulin S, Schlossman D. Thromboembolism of leg following percutaneous catheterization of femoral artery for angiography: symptoms and signs. *Acta Radiol Diagn* 1969;8:97-108.
 36. Jungreis CA, Lunsford LD, Barker D. Angiographic complications during stereotactic radiosurgery for cerebral arteriovenous malformations. *Am J Neuroradiol* 1992;13:946-948.
 37. Katzenschlager R, Ugurluoglu A, Ahmadi A, et al. Incidence of pseudoaneurysm after diagnostic and therapeutic angiography. *Radiology* 1995;195:463-466.
 38. Kothbauer K, Schroth G, Seiler RW, et al. Severe symptomatic vasospasm after rupture of an arteriovenous malformation. *Am J Neuroradiol* 1995;16:1073-1075.
 39. Kurokawa Y, Abiko S, Okamura T, et al. Pulmonary embolism after cerebral angiography: three case reports. *Neurol Med Chir* 1995;35:305-309.
 40. Lang EK. Prevention and treatment of complications following arteriography. *Radiology* 1967;88:950-956.
 41. Lang EK. A survey of the complications of percutaneous retrograde arteriography: Seldinger technique. *Radiology* 1963;81:257-263.
 42. Latchaw RE. The use of nonionic contrast agents in neuroangiography. A review of the literature and recommendations for clinical use. *Invest Radiol* 1993;28:S55-S59.
 43. Leow K, Murie JA. Cerebral angiography for cerebrovascular disease: the risks. *Br J Surg* 1988;75:428-430.
 44. Lewis CA, Sacks D, Cardella JA, et al. Position statement: documenting physician experience for credentials for peripheral arterial procedures – what you need to know. *JVIR* 2002;13:453-454.
 45. Lichtenstein DA, Klapholz L, Vardy DA, et al. Chronic radiodermatitis following cardiac catheterization. *Arch Dermatol* 1996;132:663-667.
 46. Mani RL, Eisenberg RL. Complications of catheter cerebral arteriography: analysis of 5,000 procedures. III. Assessment of arteries injected, contrast medium used, duration of procedure, and age of patient. *AJR* 1978;131:871-874.
 47. Markus H, Loh A, Israel D, et al. Microscopic air embolism during cerebral angiography and strategies for its avoidance. *Lancet* 1993;341:784-787.
 48. Marshall NW, Noble J, Faulkner K. Patient and staff dosimetry in neuroradiologic procedures. *Br J Radiol* 1995;68:495-501.

49. Mathis JM, Barr JD, Jungreis CA, et al. Temporary balloon test occlusion of the internal carotid artery: experience in 500 cases. *Am J Neuroradiol* 1995;16:749-754.
50. Mattos MA, Hodgson KJ, Faught WE, et al. Carotid endarterectomy without angiography: is color-flow duplex scanning sufficient? *Surgery* 1994;116:776-782.
51. McIvor J, Steiner TJ, Perkin GD, et al. Neurological morbidity of arch and carotid arteriography in cerebrovascular disease: the influence of contrast medium and radiologist. *Br J Radiol* 1987;60:117-122.
52. Meyer JP, Walsh J, Barrett J, et al. Analysis of 18 recent cases of penetrating injuries to the common and internal carotid arteries. *Am J Surg* 1988;156:96-99.
53. Miller JD, Grace MG, Russell DB, et al. Complications of cerebral angiography and pneumography. *Radiology* 1977;124:741-744.
54. Nakstad P, Bakke SJ, Kjartansson O, et al. Intra-arterial digital subtraction angiography of the carotid arteries. Special reference to contrast media. *Neuroradiology* 1986;28:195-198.
55. Norbash AM, Busick D, Marks MP. Techniques for reducing interventional neuroradiologic skin dose: tube position rotation and supplemental beam filtration. *Am J Neuroradiol* 1996;17:41-49.
56. Numaguchi Y, Fleming MS, Hasuo K, et al. Blood-brain barrier disruption due to cerebral arteriography: CT findings. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 1984;8:936-939.
57. Olivecrona H. Complications of cerebral angiography. *Neuroradiology* 1977;14:175-181.
58. Patterson RH Jr, Goodell H, Dunning HS. Complications of carotid arteriography. *Arch Neurol* 1964;10:513-520.
59. Saitoh H, Hayakawa K, Nishimura K, et al. Rerupture of cerebral aneurysms during angiography. *Am J Neuroradiol* 1995;16:539-542.
60. Shope TB. Radiation-induced skin injuries from fluoroscopy. *RadioGraphics* 1996;16:1195-1199.
61. Shuaib A, Hachinski VC. Migraine and the risks from angiography. *Arch Neurol* 1988;45:911-912.
62. Skalpe IO. Complications in cerebral angiography with iohexal (Omnipaque) and meglumine metrizoate (Isopaque cerebral). *Neuroradiology* 1988;30:69-72.
63. Spies JB, Berlin L. Complications of femoral artery puncture. *AJR* 1998;170:9-11.
64. Theodotou BC, Whaley R, Mahaley MS. Complications following transfemoral cerebral angiography for cerebral ischemia: report of 159 angiograms and correlation with surgical risk. *Surg Neurol* 1987;28:90-92.
65. Thomson KR, Thomson SM. Complications of cerebral angiography in a teaching hospital. *Australas Radiol* 1986;30:206-208.
66. Uchino A. Selective catheterization of the brachiocephalic arteries via the right brachial artery. *Neuroradiology* 1988;30:524-527.
67. Ullrich CG, Moore AV, Parsons RG. The arteriographic diagnosis of extracranial cerebrovascular disease. In: Robicsek F, ed. *Extracranial Cerebrovascular Disease: Diagnosis and Management*. New York, NY: Macmillan Inc; 1986:108-140.
68. van Swieten JC, Koudstaal PJ, Visser MC, et al. Inter-observer agreement for the assessment of handicap in stroke patients. *Stroke* 1988;19:604-607.
69. Vitek JJ. Femoro-cerebral angiography: analysis of 2,000 consecutive examinations, special emphasis on carotid arteries catheterization in older patients. *Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther Nucl Med* 1973;118:633-647.
70. Warnock NG, Gandhi MR, Bergvall U, et al. Complications of intraarterial digital subtraction angiography in patients investigated for cerebral vascular disease. *Br J Radiol* 1993;66:855-858.
71. Waugh JR, Sacharias N. Arteriographic complications in the DSA era. *Radiology* 1992;182:243-246.
72. Weller M, Petersen D, Dichgans J, et al. Cerebral angiography complications link cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy to familial hemiplegic migraine. *Neurology* 1996;46:844.
73. Willinsky RA, Taylor SM, TerBrugge K, et al. Neurologic complications of cerebral angiography: prospective analysis of 2,899 procedures and review of the literature. *Radiology* 2003;277:522-528.

Appendix A

Society of Interventional Radiology Standards of Practice Committee Classification of Complications by Outcome

Minor Complications

- A. No therapy, no consequence.
- B. Nominal therapy, no consequence; includes overnight admission for observation only.

Major Complications

- C. Require therapy, minor hospitalization (<48 hours).
- D. Require major therapy, unplanned increase in level of care, prolonged hospitalization (>48 hours).
- E. Permanent adverse sequelae.
- F. Death.

Modified Rankin Disability Scores

- 0 = Grade 0: No signs or symptoms.
- 1 = Grade 1: No significant disability; able to carry out all the usual activities of daily living without assistance.
NOTE: This does not preclude the presence of weakness, sensory loss, language disturbance, etc., but implies that these are mild and do not or have not caused patient to limit his/her activities, (e.g., if employed before, is still employed at the same job).
- 2 = Grade 2: Slight disability; unable to carry out some previous activities but able to look after own affairs without much assistance (e.g., unable to return to prior job; unable to do some household chores, but able to get along without daily supervision or help).
- 3 = Grade 3: Moderate disability requiring some help but able to walk without assistance (e.g., needs daily supervision; needs assistance with small aspects of dressing, hygiene; unable to read or communicate clearly). NOTE: ankle-foot orthotic or cane does not imply needing assistance.
- 4 = Grade 4: Moderately severe disability; unable to walk without assistance and unable to attend bodily needs without assistance (e.g., needs 24-hour supervision and moderate to maximum assistance on several activities of daily living but still able to do some activities by self or with minimal assistance).
- 5 = Grade 5: Severe disability; bedridden, incontinent, and requiring constant nursing care and attention.
- 6 = Stroke death.
- 9 = Unknown (not obtainable from history or no follow-up).